Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 414 (1991) 411–420 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne JOM 21946

Functionally substituted monocyclopentadienyl compounds. Formation of $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(X)(PPh_3)]$ and derivatives with other phosphines. X-Ray crystal structure of $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(I)(PPh_3)]$

L. Ballester *, S. Perez, A. Gutierrez, M.F. Perpiñan

Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Complutense, 28040-Madrid (Spain)

E. Gutierrez-Puebla, A. Monge and C. Ruiz

Laboratorio de Difracción de Rayos X, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Complutense, 28040-Madrid (Spain)

(Received March 1st, 1991)

Abstract

 $[Ni(\eta$ -CH₃C₅H₄)₂] reacts with $[NiX_2(PPh_3)_2]$ (X = Cl, Br, I, CN, SCN) to give monocyclopentadienyl complexes. The reactions of these complexes with tertiary phosphines or carbon monoxide are described. The crystal structure of $[Ni(\eta$ -CH₃C₅H₄)(I)(PPh₃)] has been determined, and shows the nickel atom to be in a pseudo-pentacoordinate environment.

Introduction

The chemistry of nickelocene and ring-substituted derivatives is dominated by displacement reactions of one or both cyclopentadienyl rings [1]. A variety of reactions lead to the formation of $[Ni(\eta-C_5H_5)(X)(PR_3)]$ (X = anionic ligand), the main preparative routes being those in which the chloro ligand is displaced by other anionic ligands [2], the substitution reactions in these complexes have been extensively studied by Kuhn et al. [3]. The compounds can also be obtained by reaction of nickelocene with $[NiX_2(PR_3)_2]$ or, alternatively, by use of thallium cyclopentadienide [4].

The reactivity of nickelocene towards the formation of 18-electron species is enhaced in the 1,1'-bisdimethylnickelocene owing to the presence of electron-donating groups in the aromatic ring. We have attempted to make methylcyclopentadienyl derivatives by reaction of $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)_2]$ and $[NiX_2(PPh_3)_2]$. Functionally-monosubstituted cyclopentadienyl compounds of other transition metals have been extensively studied, especially in order to elucidate conformational preferences [5]. In the case of nickel, Salzer et al. [6] have described anionic $[Ni(\eta-RC_5H_4)(diene)](BF_4)$ (R = H, CH₃, t-C₄H₉) complexes.

We now report the synthesis of $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(X)(PPh_3)]$ (X = Cl, Br, I, CN, SCN) and their reactivity towards dppe $(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2PPh_2)$, tcep $(P\{CH_2CH_2CN\}_3)$, and CO.

In previously described studies reductive carbonylation processes were observed only at high pressures (80 atm) [7] and the analogous complexes [Ni(η -C₅H₅)(CO)(PR₃)](BF₄) were only obtained when bulky phosphines were used [8].

Results and discussion

Table 1 lists the new compounds and some of their properties. All compounds are diamagnetic and stable under nitrogen. Their solutions are very air-sensitive, and have lower stabilities than those of corresponding unsubstituted cyclopentadienyl derivatives.

The complexes $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(X)(PPh_3)]$ (I-V) were obtained by refluxing a THF solution of $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)_2]$ and $[NiX_2(PPh_3)_2]$. The same reaction in the case of the unsubstituted nickelocene when X = CN, SCN gives better yields than the methods previously used [2].

The reaction of I, III and IV with dppe results in the substitution of phosphine and anionic ligand and formation of $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(dppe)]X$ (VI-VIII) by chelation of the diphosphine. The ionic character of these complexes is unambiguously shown by the conductivity data for their acetone solutions (Table 1). The low values for the chloro and iodo derivatives are presumably due to ion pairing and solvent association. Complex V reacts with dppe to give a neutral complex of formula $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(CN)]_2(\mu$ -dppe) (IX). The low conductivity shown by this complex is attributed to dissociation in solution.

The reaction of complexes IV and V with the less basic tcep also occurs with phosphine exchange and the neutral complexes $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(X)(tcep)]$ (X = CN (X), SCN (XI)) can be obtained. Complex VIII also reacts with tcep to give the derivative $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(dppe)(tcep)](NCS)$ (XII), with the dppe acting as monodentate in the ionic complex.

The IR spectra of these complexes (Table 2) show the presence of a strong band in the 798-810 cm⁻¹ region, characteristic of a pentahapto cyclopentadienyl ring. The frequency of this band can be related to the electron density on the cyclopentadienyl ring. In our complexes this band is shifted between 5 and 20 cm⁻¹ to higher frequencies relative to those for analogues with unsubstituted rings as a consequence of the electron-donating character of the methyl group. It is also noteable that this band appears at higher values for the ionic complexes than for the neutral ones.

Complexes IV, V, IX, X and XI show strong ν (CN) bands in the 2100–2120 cm⁻¹ region for terminal cyano and thiocyanate ligands. These bands are shifted by ca 60 cm⁻¹ to lower frequencies in complexes VIII and XII, indicating the ionic character of the thiocyanate in these compounds. Complexes IV and XI also show a band at 835–860 cm⁻¹ characteristic of an N-bonded isothiocyanate.

The ¹H NMR data for these complexes are listed in Table 3. The cyclopentadienyl protons appear as two pseudo singlets for the 2,5 and 3,4 protons, the former appearing at lower fields. The difference between the chemical shifts of these

	Compound	Colour	Yield	Elemental and	alysis		M.p.	۰V
			(%)	(Found (calc.	((%))		(c))	
				c	н	z		
-	[Ni(n-CH ₃ C ₅ H ₄)(Cl)(PPh ₃)]	red	85	66.7	5.2		123	
				(66.1)	(5.1)			
II	[Ni(7 -CH ₃ C ₅ H ₄)(Br)(PPh ₃)]	red	88	60.5	4.4		126	
				(0.0)	(4.6)			
III	[Ni(7+CH ₃ C ₅ H ₄)(I)(PPh ₃)]	red	85	55.2	4.2		107	
		purple		(54.7)	(4.2)			
V	[Ni(7-CH ₃ C ₅ H ₄)(NCS)(PPh ₃)]	red	83	65.8	5.1	3.0	151	
		brown		(65.5)	(4.8)	(3.0)		
^	[Ni(7-CH ₃ C ₅ H ₄)(CN)(PPh ₃)]	green	82	70.0	5.1	3.1	120	
				(70.4)	(5.2)	(3.3)		
٨I	[Ni(n -CH ₃ C ₅ H ₄)(dppe)]Cl	green	60	66.7	5.2		195	51
				(67.2)	(5.5)			
IIV	[Ni(<i>n</i> -CH ₃ C ₅ H ₄)(dppe)]I	green	92	57.3	4.6		112	72.5
				(57.9)	(4.7)			
VIII	[Ni(7+CH3C5H4)(dppe)]NCS	green	78	66.3	5.1	2.1	78	144
				(9.99)	(5.2)	(2.4)		
XI	[Ni(η-CH₃C₅H₄)(CN)] ₂ (μ-dppe)	green	45	65.5	5.0	3.9	198	26.6 ^b
				(66.1)	(5.3)	(3.8)		
×	[Ni(n-CH ₃ C ₅ H ₄)(CN)(tcep)]	green	51	53.2	4.9	15.3	122	
				(53.8)	(5.3)	(15.7)		
XI	[Ni(n-CH ₃ C ₅ H ₄)(NCS)(tcep)]	brown	65	48.6	4.7	13.9	160	
				(49.3)	(4.9)	(14.4)		
XII	[Ni(n-CH ₃ C ₅ H ₄)(dppe)(tcep))(NCS)	green	22	63.7	5.3	6.8	55	120
				(64.0)	(5.5)	(1.1)		
^a In 10 ⁻³ M	acetone solutions (ohm ⁻¹ cm ² mol ⁻¹). ^b	In dichlorometha	ле.					

Analytical and physical data for the new complexes

Table 1

413

Compound	v(CN) _{NCS,CN}	$\nu(CS)_{NCS}$	γ _{oop} (CH)	Others
Ī			798s (783) ^a	339w v(Ni-Cl)
IIC			803s	
III			808s	
IV	2113vs	835s	808s (790) a	
v	2107vs		805 (800) ^a	
VI			810s	
vii			810s	
V111	2060vs		813m	
IX	2104vs		790s	
x	2112vs	860s	812m	2242s v(CN) _{tcen}
X1	2104vs		810s	2240s ν (CN) _{tcen}
X11	2050vs		840m	2242s v(CN) toep

Infrared spectral data (cm⁻¹)

^a Values for unsubstituted cyclopentadienyls.

two signals (Δ) is related to the electron affinity of the pseudohalogen attached to the metal (Table 4). Thus for complexes I, II, III and V we obtained the regression line shown in Fig. 1 (r = 0.995), from which we can estimate the electron affinity of the isothiocyanate group as 3.68 eV, significantly higher than the value reported for the thiocyanate group (2.17 eV) [9]. The Δ value is almost unaltered in complex IX relative to that for complex V, suggesting that the basicity of the phosphine does not affect the difference of electronic density between the cyclopentadienyl protons in the neutral complexes. For the ionic VI-VIII and XII the two signals are very close, and as expected, neither the Δ values nor the chemical shifts are affected by the change of the counterion.

In some of the derivatives, the methyl protons are coupled to phosphorus. This

Compound	Pn	C,H,			Me	$P-CH_2$
		H _{2,5}	H _{3,4}	Δ^{b}		
I	8.0-7.2m	5.65s	4.13s	1.52	1.44d J = 4.5 Hz	
11	8.0-7.2m	5.48s	4.26s	1.22	1.61d $J = 4.5$ Hz	
111	7.86, 7.52m	5.52s	4.62s	0.90	2.04s	
		5.35 °	4.36 °		1.97 °	
IV	7.67, 7.46m	5.67s	4.07	1.60	1.46d J = 4 Hz	
		5.48 °	3.82 °		1.40s ^c	
v	7.67, 7.42m	5.46s	4.50	0.96	1.85s	
VI	7.7 –7.6 m	5.30s	5.21s	0.09	1.26t $J = 6$ Hz	2.88d $J = 18$ Hz
VII	7.66m	5.29s	5.17s	0.12		2.71br
VIII	7.7–7. 4m	5.36s	5.24s	0.12	1.33t J = 6 Hz	2.73s, 2.36s
IX	7.6-7.3m	5.38s	4.44s	0.94	1.76d J = 4 Hz	2.75br
		5.32s	4.41s	0.91	1.74d J = 3 Hz	
XII	7.4m	5.23s	5.03	<i>0.20</i>	1.82s	2.8–2.5m

Table 3 ¹H NMR data for the complexes (δ (ppm)) ^a

^a In CDCl₃. ^b $\Delta = \delta(H_{2,5}) - \delta(H_{3,4})$. ^c In C₆D₆.

Table 2

Group	Δ (ppm)	EA (eV) a	
Cl	1.52	3.617	
Br	1.22	3.363	
I	0.90	3.059	
CN	0.96	3.17	
NCS	1.60	_	

 Δ and electron affinity values for the complexes I-V

^a Data taken from ref. 9.

Table 4

coupling seems to be through space, since there is no appreciable coupling between the phosphine and the cyclopentadienyl protons.

The ¹³C NMR spectra of III and IV (Table 5) also show all the cyclopentadienyl and methyl signals as singlets, with no appreciable coupling to phosphorus. In the cyclopentadienyl carbon signals a split in two signals is also observed. As for the proton spectra, the difference $\delta(C_{2,5}) - \delta(C_{3,4})$ is higher for the isothiocyanate than for the iodide complex.

Crystal structure of $[Ni(\eta - CH_3C_5H_4)(1)(PPh_3)]$, III

Figure 2 shows a view of the molecule and the atom numbering. Tables 6 and 7 give the main bond lengths and angles. The overall geometry around the nickel is similar to that previously found in the analogous $[Ni(\eta-C_5H_5)(R)(PPh_3)]$ ($R = C_6H_5$, C_6F_5) [10]. The molecule is a discrete unit with Ni–P and Ni–I distances in the range reported for other nickel complexes [11]. The carbon-carbon ring distances are also in the expected range for cyclopentadienyl complexes.

The nickel-cyclopentadienyl carbon distances vary from 2.058 Å for Ni-C(3) to



Fig. 1. Plot of the value of $\Delta (\Delta = \delta(H_{2,5}) - \delta(H_{3,4}))$ against the electron affinity of X in [Ni(η -CH₃C₅H₄)(X)(PPh₃)] (I-V).

	III	IV
NCS		172.1s
Ph(2,6)	134.79d $J = 10$ Hz	133.48d $J = 12$ Hz
Ph(1)	134.20d $J = 18$ Hz	131.62d $J = 20$ Hz
Ph(4)	130.29s	130.74d $J = 1$ Hz
Ph(3,5)	128.21d $J = 8$ Hz	128.65d $J = 10$ Hz
$CH_3C_5H_4(1)$	101.90s	87.81s
$CH_{3}C_{5}H_{4}(2,5)$	97.60s	99.90s
$CH_{3}C_{5}H_{4}(3,4)$	90.05s	85.64s
Ме	15.7 4 s	13.67s

Table 5 ¹³C NMR data for III and IV in CDCl₃

2.168 Å for Ni–C(1). The longest distance reflects a small ring bending opposite to that observed in $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(\mu-CO)]_2$, where C(1) is bent towards the nickel atom [12]. This bending was attributed to a stronger than expected interaction of C(1) with the metal, which can be attributed, at least in part, to the electron releasing ability of the methyl group. In our case the effect produced has the opposite sign [13].

The shortest Ni–C distance Ni–C(3), is found for the carbon that lies over the phosphine, although there is no appreciable interaction C(3)–P through space as shown by the NMR spectra. The diedral angle between the cyclopentadienyl and the I–Ni–P plane of 84.1° shows a contraction relative to the observed value of 90.4° for the analogous [Ni(η -C₅H₅)(C₆H₅)(PPh₃)] [10].



Fig. 2. ORTEP view of complex III.

I–Ni	2.500(2)	C1-C6	1.482(19)	C13-C18	1.401(15)
Ni-P	2.159(3)	C2-C3	1.431(26)	C14-C15	1.401(16)
Ni-C1	2.169(12)	C3-C4	1.394(25)	C15-C16	1.379(21)
Ni-C2	2.138(14)	C4-C5	1.360(20)	C16-C17	1.367(19)
Ni-C3	2.058(12)	C7–C8	1.396(15)	C17C18	1.382(16)
Ni-C4	2.121(16)	C7-C12	1.406(16)	C19-C20	1.406(17)
Ni-C5	2.112(12)	C8C9	1.379(18)	C19-C24	1.391(16)
P-C7	1.830(12)	C9-C10	1.371(20)	C20-C21	1.403(15)
PC13	1.806(11)	C10C11	1.380(17)	C21-C22	1.349(18)
P-C19	1.832(10)	C11-C12	1.384(16)	C22-C23	1.373(16)
C1-C2	1.389(18)	C13-C14	1.396(15)	C23-C24	1.392(16)
C1-C5	1.452(18)				
I–Ni–P	97.93(9)	C11-C12-C7	120.0(1)		
Ni-P-C7	114.7(4)	P-C13-C14	118.9(8)		
Ni-P-C13	114.2(4)	P-C13-C18	122.2(8)		
Ni-P-C19	115.4(3)	C14-C13-C18	118.9(10)		
C7-PC13	106.7(5)	C13-C14-C15	119.0(1)		
C7-P-C19	101.9(5)	C14-C15-C16	120.0(1)		
C13-P-C19	102.4(5)	C15-C16-C17	120.0(1)		
C2-C1-C5	106.0(1)	C16-C17-C18	120.0(1)		
C2C1C6	128.0(1)	C17-C18-C13	121.0(1)		
C5-C1-C6	126.0(1)	P-C19-C20	118.6(9)		
C1C2C3	109.0(1)	PC19C24	121.8(9)		
C2-C3-C4	107.0(1)	C20-C19-C24	119.5(9)		
C3-C4-C5	109.0(1)	C19-C20-C21	119.0(1)		
C4-C5-C1	109.0(1)	C20-C21-C22	122.0(1)		
C8-C9-C10	121.0(1)	C21-C22-C23	119.0(1)		
C9-C10-C11	121.0(1)	C22-C23-C24	122.0(1)		
C10-C11-C12	120.0(1)	C23-C24-C19	119.1(10)		

Bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for complex III with esd's in parentheses

Reactions with CO

Complex I reacts with CO at atmospheric pressure with displacement of the anionic ligands and reduction to the complexes $[Ni(CO)_x(PPh_3)_{4-x}]$ (x = 2, 3). This reaction is favoured by the presence of additional phosphine, and $[Ni(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ is also obtained when $[Ni(\eta-C_5H_5)(PPh_3)_2]$ Cl reacts with CO in the presence of a 4-fold excess of PPh₃.

In the presence of an halogen trap, like $AgBF_4$, I reacts with CO to give $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]BF_4$. A similar reaction was observed by Kuhn et al.

Table	7
-------	---

Table 6

Bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for the hydrogen atoms in complex III with esd's in parentheses

H21-C2	0.92(13)	H41-C4	0.75(14)	
H31–C3	1.06(12)	H51-C5	0.97(13)	
H21-C2-C1	128.0(8)	H41-C4-C3	123.0(10)	
H21-C2-C3	124.0(8)	H41C4C5	125.0(10)	
H31-C3-C2	128.0(8)	H51-C5-C1	116.0(8)	
H31-C3-C4	125.0(8)	H51-C5-C4	134.0(8)	

[3] in an attempt to obtain the 16-electron species $[Ni(\eta-C_5H_5)(PR_3)]^+$. This reaction can be considered as a simple halogen substitution, whereas the reductive carbonylation reactions probably proceed through interaction of the starting nickel(II) complex with CO followed by a $\pi\sigma$ rearrangement of the cyclopentadienyl ring in the 20-electron intermediate, and finally elimination of the ring to form the reduced product.

Experimental

All reactions were carried out under oxygen-free nitrogen. $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)_2]$ and $[NiX_2(PPh_3)_2]$ (X = Cl, Br, I, CN, SCN) were prepared as previously reported [14].

Analyses were by Elemental Microanalysis Ltd., Devon. Conductance measurements were performed at room temperature with a Philips conductivity bridge PW9506120 and a conductivity cell PW9510160. IR spectra were recorded with KBr disks on a Perkin-Elmer 325 spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature on a Varian EM-90 or a Varian VXR-300 spectrometer with the residual solvent as reference.

$[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(X)(PPh_3)] (I-V)$

Solid $[NiX_2(PPh_3)_2]$ (10 mmol) was added to a solution of $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)_2]$ (10 mmol) in 150 mL of THF and the mixture was refluxed for 4 h. The $[NiX_2(PPh_3)_2]$ slowly dissolved with formation of a brownish solution. This solution was filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo. The residue was extracted with benzene (2 × 20 mL), hexane (25 mL) was added, and the solutions kept at -20° C for two days to give red crystals of the product.

$[Ni(\eta - CH_3C_5H_4)(dppe)]X(VI-VIII)$

A solution of dppe (1.15 mmol) in 25 mL of acetone was added to a stirred solution of I, II or III (1 mmol) in 30 mL of acetone. Stirring was maintained for 24 h and the colour changed slowly to green. After removal of the solvent, the residue was repeatedly washed with Et_2O and then extracted with acetone (15 mL). Addition of hexane to the acetone extract followed by cooling afforded the desired products as green solids. The same reaction takes place in benzene as solvent; in this case the product can be purified directly by recrystallization from acetone.

$[Ni(\eta - CH_3C_5H_4)(CN)]_2(\mu - dppe)$ (IX)

The reaction of V and dppe was carried out as above and the product was recrystallized from chloroform/hexane as green crystals.

$[Ni(\eta - CH_3C_5H_4)(X)(tcep)] (X - XI)$

A solution of tcep (0.5 mmol) in acetone was added to a stirred solution of IV or V in 30 mL of acetone. After 4 h stirring the solution was concentrated to 10 mL and 15 mL of hexane were added. The solid that separated was filtered off and washed with Et_2O .

$[Ni(\eta - CH_3C_5H_4)(dppe)(tcep)](NCS)$ (XII)

This complex was made as described for X-XI from tcep and VIII.

Reactions of $[Ni(\eta - CH_3C_5H_4)(X)(PR_3)]$ with CO

(a) In dichloromethane. Carbon monoxide was bubbled through dichloromethane solutions of $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(Cl)(PPh_3)]$ or $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(PPh_3)_2]Cl$ in the presence of excess of PPh₃. After 3 h the solution was filtered and the solvent removed *in vacuo*. Addition of ethanol gave a yellow-white precipitate which was recrystallized from acetone with addition of charcoal. The product was identified as $[Ni(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2]$. Yield 50-60%.

(b) In ethanol. Carbon monoxide was bubbled for 5 h through an ethanolic solution of $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(Cl)(PPh_3)]$. Addition of hexane, filtration and removal of solvent gave crude $[Ni(CO)_3(PPh_3)]$ that can be recrystallized from acetone to white crystals obtained in 53% yield.

(c) $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]BF_4$. This complex can be obtained, as previously reported for the unsubstituted cyclopentadienyl [3] by bubbling CO through a nitromethane solution in the presence of AgBF₄.

X-Ray study of $[Ni(\eta-CH_3C_5H_4)(I)(PPh_3)]$

A brown prismatic crystal of size $0.09 \times 0.06 \times 0.05$ mm was mounted on a Enraf Nonius CAD4-F diffractometer. The cell dimensions were refined by least-squares fitting of the θ values for 25 reflections. The intensities of 3851 unique reflections with $1 < \theta < 25^{\circ}$ were measured at 295 K with monochromatic Mo- K_{α} radiation $(\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å})$ and $\omega/2\theta$ scan techniques. There was no appreciable variation in intensity of three standard reflections, checked every hour. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and 1349 of these were considered as observed by the criterion $I \ge 2\sigma(I)$.

Scattering factors for neutral atoms and the anomalous dispersion corrections for Ni, P, and I atoms were taken from *International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography* [15].

The structure was solved by three dimensional Patterson and Fourier syntheses which allowed location of all non-hydrogen atoms.

An empirical absortion correction [16] was applied at the end of the isotropic refinement. Anisotropic full matrix least-squares refinement with unit weights led to R = 0.038. No trend in F vs F_0 or $\sin(\theta/\lambda)$ was observed. Hydrogen atoms of the methyl and phenyl groups were fixed at calculated positions. Only the cyclopentadienyl hydrogen atoms were located in a difference synthesis calculated with reflections having $\sin(\theta/\lambda) < 0.5 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. Final refinement with fixed isotropic temperature factors and coordinates for H atoms gave R = 0.032. Maximum and average shift/error were 0.06 and 0.02 respectively. A final difference synthesis showed no significant electron density. Most of the calculations were carried out with XRAY80 [17]. Table 8 shows the final atomic parameters.

Acknowledgement

Financial support for this work from Comisión Interministerial is gratefully acknowledged (Project PS87-0028).

References

¹ P.W. Jolly and G. Wilke, The Organic Chemistry of Nickel, Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, 1974, p. 21.

- 420
- 2 (a) J. Thomson and M.C. Baird, Can. J. Chem., 51 (1973) 1179; (b) M. van der Akker and F. Jellinek, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays Bas, 86 (1967) 897.
- 3 N. Kuhn and M. Winter, J. Organomet. Chem., 301 (1986) C9 and references cited.
- 4 (a) E. Hernández and P. Royo, J. Organomet. Chem., 291 (1985) 387; (b) J.W. Faller and B.V. Johnson, ibid., 96 (1975) 99.
- 5 D.W. Macomber, W.P. Hart and M.D. Rausch, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 21 (1982) 1.
- 6 A. Salzer, T.L. Court and H. Werner, J. Organomet. Chem., 54 (1973) 325.
- 7 H. Yamazaki, T. Nishido, Y. Matsumoto, S. Sumida and N. Hagiaru, J. Organomet. Chem., 6 (1966) 86.
- 8 N. Kuhn, N. Heuser and M. Winter, J. Organomet. Chem., 267 (1984) 221.
- 9 Data taken from R.C. Weast (Ed.), Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 58th ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 1977-78.
- 10 M.R. Churchill and T.A. O'Brien, J. Chem. Soc. (A), (1970) 161.
- 11 (a) J.M. Coleman and L.F. Dahl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89 (1967) 542; (b) W. Klani, K. Schmidt, A. Bockmann, D.J. Brauer, J. Wilke, H. Lueken and U. Elserhans, Inorg. Chem., 25 (1986) 4125.
- 12 N. Kuhn, N. Henser and M. Winter, J. Organomet. Chem., 267 (1984) 221.
- 13 L.R. Byers and L.F. Dahl, Inorg. Chem., 19 (1980) 680.
- 14 (a) L.T. Reynolds and G. Wilkinson, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 9 (1959) 86; (b) L.M. Venanzi, J. Chem. Soc., (1958) 719.
- 15 International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1974, p. 72-98.
- 16 N. Walter and D. Stuart, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 39 (1983) 158.
- 17 M. Martínez-Ripoll and F.H. Cano, PESOS program, Instituto Rocasolano, C.S.I.C., Madrid, Spain.